PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No. 5254 2 EGLET WALL CHRISTIANSEN 400 Seventh Street, Suite 400, Box 1 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 450-5400 4 Facsimile: (702) 450-5451 Attorneys for Natalie Nelson as legal guardian of minor child Alexander L. 6 -&-MARC A. SAGGESE, ESQ. 7 Nevada Bar No. 7166 8 SAGGESE & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 732 S. Sixth Street, Suite 201 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 778-8883 10 Facsimile: (702) 778-8884 11 Attorneys for all Plaintiffs 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 14 NATALIE NELSON, individually and as 15 natural mother and legal guardian of minor 16 child Alexander L.; RICHARD E. NELSON, CASE NO.: 2:13-CV-00050 17 maternal grandfather of Alexander L.; CATHERINE R. NELSON, maternal 18 grandmother of Alexander L.; JOINT MOTION TO AMEND DISCOVERY 19 PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER (SECOND REQUEST) Plaintiffs, 20 VS. 21 MICHAEL WILLDEN, Director of the 22 Nevada Department of Health and Human Services; AMBER HOWELL, Administrator 23 of the Nevada Division of Child and Family Services; DONALD BURNETTE, Clark 24 County Manager; CLARK COUNTY; LISA 25 RUIZ-LEE, Director of Clark County Department of Family Services; DOES I-X, 26 inclusive; DOES XI-XX, inclusive; 27 Defendants. 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The above-named parties, by an through their respective counsel of record, hereby move this Honorable Court to Amend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order in this matter and state as follows:

- A. Meeting. Pursuant to Fed. R.Civl P. 26(f) and LR 26 26-1(a), the parties a meeting was held on April 9, 2014 and was attended by:
 - 1. Peter S. Christiansen, Esq., for Plaintiffs;
 - Shannon C. Richards, Esq., for Defendants Amber Howell and Michael Wilden
 - 3. Felicia Galati, Esq., for Defendants Donald Burnette, Clark County, and Lisa Ruiz-Lee.
- В. Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The parties exchanged initial disclosures on or about Thursday, April 4, 2013, pursuant to FRCP 26(f)(6).
- C. Discovery Plan. On March 27, 2013, this Court entered an order approving the parties Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (#19). Thereafter, the parties engaged in some written discovery and made other disclosures in this case. On October 2, 2013, this Court stayed discovery, pursuant to a stipulation of the parties, in part, to allow the parties to engage in settlement negotiations. On January 22, 2014, the parties attended a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Nancy Koppe (#43). Unfortunately, the parties were not able to resolve this matter. *Id.* Accordingly, on that same date, this case was returned to the normal litigation track. Id. The parties submitted an Amended Discovery Plan (#45) which was approved by this Court and filed January 30, 2014.

On February 12, 2014, the Court granted Defendant Howell and Wilden's Motion to Dismiss (#13) as to those Defendants and order that Plaintiffs file an amended complaint within 14 days of the date of the Order. On February 26, 2014, Peter S. Christiansen of Eglet Wall

Christiansen filed a Notice of Association of Counsel as for Plaintiff Natalie Nelson as legal guardian of minor child Alexander L (#47) and on the same date Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint (#48). On March 18, 2014, Defendants Howell and Wilden filed a Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#54).

Since the filing of the original complaint in this case the parties have engaged in the following discovery:

- On June 14, 2013, Defendant Clark County served requests for admission on Richard Nelson, Catherine Nelson and Natalie Nelson respectively.
- On June 14, 2013, Defendant Clark County served interrogatories and request for production of documents on Richard Nelson, Catherine Nelson and Natalie Nelson respectively.
- 3. On July 18, 2013, Defendant Clark County served second sets of interrogatories on Richard Nelson, Catherine Nelson and Natalie Nelson respectively.
- On July 18, 2013, Defendant Clark County served second requests for admission on Richard Nelson and Catherine Nelson respectively.
- On July 22, 2013, Plaintiffs' served their first sets of interrogatories and request for production of documents on Clark County, Lisa Ruiz-Lee and Donald Burnette respectively.
- 6. On August 15, 2013, Defendants Michael Willden and Amber Howell served their first set of interrogatories and request for production on Natalie Nelson.
- On August 29, 2013, Defendant Clark County served third sets of interrogatories on Richard Nelson, Catherine Nelson and Natalie Nelson respectively.

25

26

27

28

EGLET WALL HRISTIANSEN

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	

1

2

3

8.	On March 21, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her first request for
	production of documents on Defendants Clark County, Donald Burnette and Lisa
	Ruiz-Lee.

- On March 21, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her first request for production of documents on Defendants Michael Willden and Amber Howell.
- On March 21, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her first set of interrogatories on Defendant Michael Willden.
- 11. On March 21, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her first set of interrogatories on Defendant Amber Howell.
- 12. On March 21, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her second set of interrogatories on Defendant Lisa Ruiz-Lee.
- 13. On April 2, 2014, Plaintiff Natalie Nelson served her second set of requests for production of documents on Defendants Michael Willden and Amber Howell.

Counsel have been working diligently to secure workable dates for depositions of the parties and witnesses in the matter, including Defendant Howell. However, Defendant Howell is on maternity leave until mid-June 2014.

The parties have communicated and propose the following discovery plan so that they can engage in and complete discovery to be adequately prepared for trial:

- 1. Discovery will be needed regarding the following subjects:
 - a. Claims set forth in the First Amended Complaint
 - b. Defenses relevant to the causes of action
 - c. Any counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party complaints that may be filed by the Defendants that have not yet answered.

J Z	12
WALL INSEN	13
STIA	14
HRI	15
HO.	16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2.	Discovery Cut-Off Date(s	Discovery will ta	ake 280 days to l	be completed
	Therefore all discovery st	uall be completed or	n or before Janu	ary 28 2015

- 3. Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures (Experts). Disclosure of experts shall proceed according to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2), except that:
 - a. The initial disclosure of experts and expert reports shall occur on November 28, 2014, which is 60 days before the discovery cut-off date; and
 - b. The disclosure of rebuttal experts and their reports shall occur on December 29, 2015 which is thirty (30) days before the discovery cutoff date.

Other Items. D.

- 1. Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties. The parties shall have until October 30, 2014 to file any motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties as pursuant to LR-26(2), which is not later than ninety (90) days prior to the close of discovery.
- 2. Interim Status Report. The parties shall file the interim status report required by LR 26-3, by November 28, 2014. Undersigned counsel certify that they have read LR 26-3 and that this date is not later than sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date.
- 3. Dispositive Motions. The parties shall have until February 27, 2015, to file dispositive motions. This is thirty (30) days after the discovery cut-off date and does not exceed the outside limit of thirty (30) days following the discovery cut-off date that LR 26-1(e)(4) presumptively sets for filing

- 4. Pretrial Order. The pretrial order shall be filed by March 27, 2015, which is not more than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions in the case. In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date set for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until thirty (30) after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the court. The disclosures required by Fed. R.Civ.P. 26E(5) shall be made in the joint pretrial order.
- 5. Later Appearing Parties. A copy of this discovery plan and scheduling order shall served on any person served after it is entered or, if additional defendants should appear, within five (5) days of their first appearance. This discovery plan and scheduling order shall apply to such later-appearing party(ies), unless the Court, on motion and for good cause shown, orders otherwise.
- 6. Extensions or modifications of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. LR

 26-4 governs modifications or extensions of this discovery plan and

 scheduling order. Any stipulation or motion must be made not later than

 21 expiration of the subject deadline

 twenty (20) days before the discovery cut-off date and comply fully with LR

 26-4.

EGLET WALL CHRISTIANSEN Trial Attorneys	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Conta	SAGGESE & ASSOCIATES, LTD. /s/ Marc A. Saggese By	
		IT IS SO ORDERED.		
		IINITED STATES	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	25	DATED:5-9-201	2. T.	
	26			
:	27			